


ISSN 2519-8521 (Print)  
ISSN 2520-2588 (Online)

# Regulatory Mechanisms in Biosystems



Volume 10(2)



# Regulatory Mechanisms in Biosystems

ISSN 2519-8521 (Print)  
ISSN 2520-2588 (Online)

**Aims and scope.** *Regulatory Mechanisms in Biosystems* publishes peer-reviewed original research and review articles across all aspects of regulatory mechanisms in biological systems from the molecular level of organisation to the level of the organism. This journal mostly focuses on physiological mechanisms of regulation of metabolic processes, biochemical and physiological features of any species including human beings. This journal covers a wide range of regulatory mechanisms in biological systems that are associated both with natural processes and those transformed under the influence of chemicals and drugs, and any other man-made factors. We will publish papers concerned solely with clinical case studies and clinical trials if such articles address important questions in regulatory mechanisms in biosystems. *Regulatory Mechanisms in Biosystems* focuses on good-quality research, reporting scientifically sound observations and valid conclusions, which bring new and important information to the attention of the wider international scientific community. The journal publishes contributions in the following basic areas: biochemistry, bioinformatics, biophysics, cell biology, endocrinology, genetics, immunology, microbiology, molecular biology, physiology, neuroscience, pharmacology, toxicology.

## EDITORIAL BOARD

### Editor-in-Chief:

Prof. *O. Y. Pakhomov*, D. Sc., Department of Zoology and Ecology, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine.

### Deputy Editors:

Prof. *I. M. Bondarenko*, D. Sc., Department of Oncology, Dnipro State Medical Academy, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *J. A. McLachlan*, D. Sc., Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, Tulane University, New Orleans, USA;

Dr. *I. Melamed*, D. Sc., Department of Neurosurgery, Soroka Medical Center, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beersheba, Israel;

Prof. *S. G. Pierzynowski*, Ph. D., Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden.

### Executive Editor:

As. Prof. *V. V. Brygadyrenko*, Ph. D., Department of Zoology and Ecology, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine.

### Editorial Board:

As. Prof. *A. Anniattalab*, Ph. D., Department of Veterinary Pathology, Islamic Azad University, Urmia Branch, Iran;

As. Prof. *W. Barg*, D. Sc., Department of Physiology, Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland;

Prof. *G. Baydas*, D. Sc., Advisor to the President of Altinbas University, Turkey;

Prof. *N. M. Bilko*, D. Sc., Department Laboratory of Diagnostics of Biological Systems, National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, Kyiv, Ukraine;

Dr. *M. Boyka*, Ph. D., Department of Anesthesiology, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beersheba, Israel;

*P. W. Bradbeer*, Alfred Nobel University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *V. S. Chernom*, D. Sc., Department of Anatomy, Histology, Clinical Anatomy of Operative History and Pathomorphology, Medical Institute of Petro Mohyla State Pedagogical University, Ukraine;

Prof. *P. Dite*, D. Sc., Faculty of Medicine, University of Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic;

Prof. *O. I. Fediv*, D. Sc., Department of Internal Medicine, Bukovinian State Medical University, Chernivtsi, Ukraine;

Prof. *O. V. Fedonenko*, D. Sc., Department of General Biology and Aquatic Bioresources, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

As. Prof. *V. Y. Gasso*, Ph. D., Department of Zoology and Ecology, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *P. M. Gavrilin*, D. Sc., Department of Normal and Pathological Anatomy of Agricultural Animals, Dnipro State Agrarian and Economic University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *T. S. Golovko*, D. Sc., Research Department of Radiodiagnostics, National Cancer Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine;

Prof. *B. Gutyj*, D. Sc., Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Stepan Gzhyskyi National University of Veterinary Medicine and Biotechnologies, Lviv, Ukraine;

As. Prof. *A. P. Harrison*, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark;

*A. O. Huslysty*, Department of Geobotany, Soil Science and Ecology, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *M. Itabashi*, Ph. D., Pathology and Cytology Center, LSI Medicine Corporation, International University of Health and Welfare, Mita Hospital, Tokyo, Japan;

As. Prof. *T. Kendzierska*, Ph. D., Division of Respiriology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada;

As. Prof. *N. O. Kikodze*, Ph. D., Department of Immunology, Tbilisi State Medical University, Tbilisi, Georgia;

Prof. *V. Kováč*, D. Sc., Department of Ecology, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovak Republic;

As. Prof. *V. Krashevskaya*, Ph. D., J. F. Blumenbach Institute of Zoology and Anthropology, University of Goettingen, Goettingen, Germany;

As. Prof. *S. V. Kyrychenko*, Ph. D., Department of Biophysics and Biochemistry, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *M. Leja*, D. Sc., Scientific Department, Riga Eastern Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia;

As. Prof. *M. A. Lieshchova*, Ph. D., Department of Normal and Pathological Anatomy of Agricultural Animals, Dnipro State Agrarian and Economic University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *O. Y. Loskutov*, D. Sc., Department of Traumatology and Orthopaedics, Dnipro State Medical Academy, Dnipro, Ukraine;

As. Prof. *I. A. Lupasco*, D. Sc., State University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Nicolae Testemitanu", Chişinău, Republica Moldova;

Prof. *O. A. Lykholat*, D. Sc., Department of Goods Knowledge and Custom Expertise, University of Custom Business and Finance, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *Y. V. Lykholat*, D. Sc., Department of Physiology and Introduction of Plants, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

As. Prof. *O. M. Marenkov*, Ph. D., Department of General Biology and Aquatic Bioresources, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *A. V. Matsyura*, D. Sc., Department of Ecology and Zoology, Altai State University, Barnaul, Russia;

Prof. *V. O. Moysseyenko*, D. Sc., Bogomolets National Medical University, Kyiv, Ukraine;

Prof. *V. S. Nedzvetzky*, D. Sc., Department of Biochemistry and Physiology, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *Hongwei Ni*, D. Sc., Institute of Natural Resources and Ecology of Heilongjiang Academy of Sciences, Harbin, China;

Prof. *J. Paidere*, D. Sc., Department of Ecology, Daugavpils University, Daugavpils, Latvia;

Prof. *T. O. Pertseva*, D. Sc., Dnipro Medical Academy, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *S. I. Pimanov*, D. Sc., Department of Therapy, Vitebsk State Medical University, Vitebsk, Republic of Belarus;

Prof. *T. M. Satarova*, D. Sc., Biotechnology Laboratory, Institute of Grain Cultures, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *O. V. Severnyovska*, D. Sc., Department of Biochemistry and Physiology, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *M. B. Shcherbynina*, D. Sc., Faculty of Medical Technologies of Diagnostics and Rehabilitation, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *T. M. Shevchenko*, D. Sc., Department of Clinical and Laboratory Diagnostics, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Senior Research *A. P. Shoko*, Ph. D., Tanzania Fisheries Research Institute, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania;

Prof. *Y. V. Shpyryk*, D. Sc., Chemotherapy Department,

Lviv State Oncological Regional Treatment and Diagnostic Center, Lviv, Ukraine;

As. Prof. *S. Smetana*, Ph. D., Food Data Group, German Institute of Food Technologies, Quakenbrück, Germany;

Prof. *A. F. Tabaran*, Ph. D., Masonic Cancer Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA;

As. Prof. *V. Tamutis*, Ph. D., Faculty Agronomy, Aleksandras Stulginskis University, Kaunas, Lithuania;

Prof. *P. B. Tchounwou*, D. Sc., NIH-RCMI Center for Environmental Health, College of Science, Engineering and Technology, Jackson State University, Jackson, USA;

*M. O. Tykhomyrov*, Translation Department, National Technical University Dnipro Polytechnic, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *G. O. Ushakova*, D. Sc., Department of Biochemistry and Physiology, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

As. Prof. *O. S. Voronkova*, Ph. D., Department of Clinical and Laboratory Diagnostics, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;


As. Prof. *N. B. Yesipova*, Ph. D., Department of General Biology and Aquatic Bioresources, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;

Prof. *G. V. Zolape*, D. Sc., Departments of Zoology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, India.

**Literary Editors:** *P. W. Bradbeer*, *M. O. Tikhomyrov*. **Cover Design:** *A. O. Huslysty*, *P. S. Usenko*. **Text Layout:** *V. V. Brygadyrenko*.

**Publication information.** *Regulatory Mechanisms in Biosystems*, ISSN 2519-8521 (Print), ISSN 2520-2588 (Online). Subscription prices are available upon request from the Publisher or from the journal's website ([www.medicine.dp.ua](http://www.medicine.dp.ua)). Subscriptions are accepted on a prepaid basis only and are entered on a calendar year basis. Issues are sent by standard mail (surface within Europe, air delivery outside Europe). Priority rates are available upon request. Claims for missing issues should be made within six months of the date of dispatch.

Approved by the Scientific Council of Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Gagarin Ave., 72, Dnipro, 49010, Ukraine



## Epitheliocystis: Development of PCR assay for the monitoring among the commercially important aquaculture species of Ukraine

V. K. Zezekalo\*, S. B. Peredera\*, K. F. Pochernayev \*\*, M. A. Petrenko\*, P. P. Shatokhin\*, E. V. Titarenko\*

\*Poltava State Agrarian Academy, Poltava, Ukraine

\*\*Institute of Pig Breeding and Agroindustrial production NAAS, Poltava, Ukraine

### Article info

Received 24.03.2019

Received in revised form 20.04.2019

Accepted 23.04.2019

Poltava State Agrarian Academy,  
Skovorody st., 1/3,  
Poltava, 36003, Ukraine.  
Tel.: +38-053-250-02-73.  
E-mail: v.zezekalo@gmail.com

Institute of Pig Breeding  
and Agroindustrial Production  
NAAS, Poltava, Ukraine.  
Tel.: +38-053-264-16-52.  
E-mail: pigbreeding@ukr.net

**Zezekalo, V. K., Peredera, S. B., Pochernayev, K. F., Petrenko, M. A., Shatokhin, P. P., & Titarenko, E. V. (2019). Epitheliocystis: Development of PCR assay for the monitoring among the commercially important aquaculture species of Ukraine. *Regulatory Mechanisms in Biosystems*, 10(2), 216–219. doi:10.15421/021932**

Epitheliocystis is an emerging disease of the of wild and cultured fish caused by a number of bacterial species, characterized by the presence of cytoplasmic bacterial inclusions in the epithelial cells of the gills, which contribute to the merging of the gill plates, and in some cases also spread to the skin of fish. This disease may manifest as hypertrophy and inflammation of the gills, white nodular lesions of epithelial tissue in the gills or skin, gasping on the surface of the water, lethargy, poor swimming and stunted growth. Among the commercially important aquaculture species of Ukraine, such as Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), brown trout (*S. trutta*), grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*), common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) and gibel carp (*Carassius auratus*), Candidatus *Clavochlamydia salmonicola* and Candidatus *Piscichlamydia salmonis* are associated with epitheliocystis. There are currently no tools to identify *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. Piscichlamydia salmonis* at the disposal of ichthyologists and veterinary laboratories in Ukraine. Our basic concern was to develop a PCR assay of epitheliocystis diagnosis. We suggest the use of general primers for *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. Piscichlamydia salmonis* simultaneous detection. The developed PCR assay for identification of *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. Piscichlamydia salmonis* has shown its suitability for amplifying control DNA. Confirmation of the amplification products identity was performed using selective recognition of the sequence by the *TasI* restriction endonuclease (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US). Analytical specificity verification of the PCR assay performed by amplifying the control DNA of 10 species of Chlamydiales order showed the absence of PCR products, but expected one. The designed PCR assay, after approbation on clinical material, can be used by researchers for extensive monitoring of epitheliocystis, doctors of veterinary medicine for diagnosis clarification, in addition to introduction into the practice of veterinary medicine laboratories and implementation in fish farms improvement programmes. The amplicon size of 197 base pairs theoretically permits application of this oligonucleotide primers pair for real-time PCR.

**Keywords:** *Ca. Piscichlamydia salmonis*; *Ca. Clavochlamydia salmonicola*; chlamydial infections in fish; Ukraine.

### Introduction

Epitheliocystis is a common disease of fish affecting the gills and skin of fish caused by a number of bacteria species, characterized by the presence of “cysts” (cytoplasmic bacterial inclusions) in the epithelial cells of the gills, which leads to the fusion of gill lamellae and on rare occasions spreads to the fish skin. Epitheliocystis may be the cause of hypertrophy and inflammation of the gills, white nodular lesions of epithelial tissues of gills or skin, gasping at the water surface, lethargy, weak swimming behaviour and growth retardation (Lewiset al., 1992). Microscopically, infected epithelial cells progressively enlarge and contain spherical cysts filled with bacteria encapsulated by a membrane. There have been cases where inflammation extended to other tissues, causing severe respiratory disturbances and death of fish (Meijer et al., 2006; Draghi et al., 2007). Syasina et al. (2004) described the case of the disease in a population of fish with high mortality rate (up to 100%). In this case, in addition to the clinical manifestations listed above, the disease was manifested by exophthalmos, lens lesion, phacocele, corneal clouding and blindness, as well as skin ulcers (Syasina et al., 2004). The aforementioned disease has been first reported as “mucophilosis” in 1924 (Demollet et al., 1924), the term “epitheliocystis” appeared later, in 1969 (Hoffman et al., 1969). To date, epitheliocystis has been described in more than 90 fish species around the world (Pawlikowska-Warych

et al., 2016). Despite this, epitheliocystis remains one of the unexplored diseases of fish in Ukraine. Ukraine lost about 2/3 of its fish catch after the Crimea was annexed. The domestic fish industry shows signs of development in accordance with international standards: more and more fish farms are being built, which in the future will allow Ukraine to reduce the share of imports in the consumption structure (Conference Fish and Seafood, 2018).

On the territory of Transcarpathia region are the largest reserves of water resources in Ukraine, and accordingly the largest concentration of aquatic biological resources, more than 75 percent of which are valuable as well for species that have a special conservation status. The Carpathian Biosphere Reserve is engaged in breeding brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) for release into numerous mountain rivers and streams to support natural populations. In addition, the number of private family-owned enterprises for brown trout breeding is growing because this fish is very expensive and valuable, and the natural conditions of Transcarpathia are favourable for the development of such mini-farms.

Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) is one of the most intensively farmed marine fish all over the World (Naylor et al., 2005). Atlantic salmon fish farms have begun to develop in Ukraine, and the number of such farms will increase due to the high profitability of such enterprises.

According to the data of State Agency of Fisheries of Ukraine, growing marketable fish in aquaculture conditions amounted to 20.2

thousand tons, of which 15.9 thousand tons of aquaculture products were harvested, according to data for the last year. Carp and Far Eastern herbivorous fish accounted for 87% of total aquaculture production. The production of European catfish, pike, trout, and sturgeon totals 13%. Ukrainian fish and fish products are exported to Europe. Aquaculture has a significant amount of animal protein, and according to this index it takes the third place after growing livestock and poultry (Public report of the State Agency of Fisheries of Ukraine for 2018). All of the above shows the growth prospects of Ukraine's fisheries sector.

Many species of *Chlamydia*-like bacteria are associated with epitheliocystis in commercially important aquaculture species, including *Candidatus Clavochlamydia salmonicola*, *Candidatus Piscichlamydia salmonis*, *Candidatus Parilichlamydia carangidicola*, *Candidatus Actinochlamydia clariae*, *Candidatus Similichlamydia laticola*, *Candidatus Similichlamydia labri*, *Candidatus Similichlamydia latridicola*, *Candidatus Renichlamydia lutjani*, *Syngnamydia venezia*, *Neochlamydia*-like.

Amongst all known species of *Chlamydia*-like bacteria associated with epitheliocystis only *Ca. Clavochlamydia salmonicola*, *Ca. Piscichlamydia salmonis* and *Syngnamydia venezia* can be distinguished in Ukraine, since their hosts are common in these parts (Shcherbukha, 1987; Zezekalo et al., 2019). And only *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis* are associated with epitheliocystis infection among the commercially important aquaculture species, including Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) (Karlsen et al., 2008; Schmidt-Posthaus et al., 2012; Guevara et al., 2016) brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) (Blandford et al., 2018); grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) (Kumaret al., 2013), common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) and gibel carp (*Carassius auratus*) (Sellyei et al., 2017). Moreover, the problem of epitheliocystis has not been studied, there is no information about epitheliocystis in scientific papers in Ukrainian or Russian. However, there are many diseases of the gills and skin in fish, as well as diseases of fish juveniles, leading to their death described. Notwithstanding the significance of *Chlamydia*-like bacteria species associated with epitheliocystis, studies on their prevalence among wild and farmed fish in Ukraine have not been performed due to the lack of available diagnostic methods. Presently, there is no toolkit for identification of *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis* at the disposal of ichthyopathologists and veterinary laboratories in Ukraine. The purpose of our work was to develop a PCR assay, an inexpensive and simple method of epitheliocystis diagnosis.

## Materials and methods

The research was performed applying the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). It was conducted in the laboratory of animal health and the laboratory of genetics of the Institute of Pig Breeding and Agro-Industrial Production, NAAS, which is certified for genetic analysis at the DNA level (Compliance certificate "state of the measurement system" number 021-19 from 01/31/2019).

Alignment of 111 nucleotide sequences of 36 bacteria of the order Chlamydiales was implemented by using the MEGA7 software, for detection of the presence of polymorphic hybridization site of both *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis*. NCBI Primer design tool [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast) was used to design oligonucleotide primers. Online service Primer-BLAST was used to ensure a target specificity of the primers. Oligonucleotide primers for detection of *Ca. P. salmonis* and *Ca. C. salmonicola* in single PCR assay were synthesized (by Metabion international AG, Germany). The indicated pair of oligonucleotide primers flanking the <sup>16</sup>S rRNA gene fragment sizing 197 bp. In addition to the oligonucleotide primers, the reaction mixture included reagents manufactured by Thermo Fisher Scientific, were used in PCR assays: deionized water, PCR buffer, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, solution of deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (dNTP) and Taq polymerase.

DNA size marker pUc19/MspI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) was used as DNA marker. DNA amplification was performed on a "Tercyc-2" multichannel thermo cycler (DNA technology, Russia). The amplification products and restriction products were separated by using 2% agarose gel electrophoresis in an electrophoresis chamber (Clever Scientific Ltd, UK). On completion of the electrophoresis process, the gel was stained with a solution of ethidium bromide (10 mg/cm<sup>3</sup>) and outcome

of electrophoresis was registered by gel documentation system (Clever Scientific Ltd, UK). Confirmation of the identity of the amplification products was performed using selective recognition of the sequence by the *TasI* restriction endonuclease (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US).


The following control DNA samples were used: *Parachlamydia acanthamoebae* strains "Berg17" and "Bn9" were kindly provided by Dr. Michel Rolf (Central Military Hospital Koblenz, Germany), DNA of *Parachlamydia acanthamoebae*, strain Hall obtained from Prof. Gilbert Greub (Institut de Microbiologie Médecin chef des laboratoires de microbiologie diagnostique Institut de Microbiologie de l'Université de Lausanne, Switzerland), DNA samples of *Waddlia chondrophila*, *Chlamydia avium*, *Chlamydia pecorum*, *Chlamydia abortus*, *Chlamydia psittaci*, *Chlamydia suis*, *Chlamydia caviae*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Chlamydia abortus*, *Chlamydia felis*, *Chlamydia muridarum*, *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, *Chlamydia gallinacea*, were obtained from Dr. Christiane Schnee (Institut für molekulare Pathogenese, Jena, Germany). *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis* samples were obtained from Dr. Heike Schmidt-Posthaus, (Center for Fish and Wildlife Health, Bern, Switzerland).

Analysis of the causative epitheliocystis agents is complicated by an inability to cultivate them for refined *in vitro* experimentation. Epitheliocystis has not been studied in Ukraine yet, therefore, for functional verification of developed PCR assay we have used DNA samples kindly provided by Dr. Heike Schmidt-Posthaus.

## Results

Oligonucleotide primers were designed for simultaneous detection of two epitheliocystis agents (*Ca. P. salmonis* and *Ca. C. salmonicola*): forward – PCSALF: GCTAACGCGATAAGTGTGCC, and reverse – PCSALR: CCATGCAGCACCTGTGTAGT, with expected PCR product size of 197 base pairs.

Gel electrophoresis of PCR products of amplification of selected oligonucleotide primers with control DNAs of *Ca. P. salmonis* and *Ca. C. salmonicola* determined the size of the amplified DNA fragments as 197 bp. The fragments corresponded to the expected sizes of the DNA fragments of <sup>16</sup>S rRNA gene *Ca. P. salmonis* and *Ca. C. salmonicola*, thus was performed functional verification of PCR assay (Fig. 1).




**Fig. 1.** Electrophoregram of amplification *Ca. P. salmonis* and *Ca. C. salmonicola* control DNAs with PCSALF/PCSALR primers: M – DNA size marker pUc19/MspI; 1 – PCSALF/PCSALR primers with DNA of *Ca. P. salmonis* (197 bp), 2 – PCSALF/PCSALR primers with DNA of *Ca. C. salmonicola* (197 bp)

The restriction enzyme *TasI* was selected for PCR product digestion, the sizes of the fragments generated corresponds to the predicted sizes (40, 57, 100 bp, for *Ca. P. salmonis* and 13, 40, 44, 100 bp, for *Ca. C. salmonicola*). Hereby the amplification products identity was confirmed (Fig. 2).


Analytical specificity verification of the PCR assay performed by amplifying the control DNA of 10 species of Chlamydiales order showed the absence of PCR products, but expected one. Consequently, the analytical specificity of the PCR product was confirmed (Fig. 3).

A developed PCR assay for the identification of *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis* has shown its suitability for amplifying control DNA (Fig. 1). Primers used in this study were able to direct the amplifi-

cation of DNA from both *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis* tested, giving a band of the expected size after gel electrophoresis. Restriction enzyme *TasI* gave fragments of the expected size, demonstrating that the amplified DNA was specific for *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis* (Fig. 2). The developed PCR assay demonstrated high analytical specificity – lack of amplification (except expected) on the control DNA matrix of 10 species belonging to the order of Chlamydiales (*Parachlamydia acanthamoebae*, *Waddlia chondrophila*, *Ca. Clavochlamydia salmonicola*, *Ca. P. salmonis*, *Chlamydia avium*, *Chlamydia pecorum*, *Chlamydia abortus*, *Chlamydia psittaci*, *Chlamydia suis*, *Chlamydia caviae*) (Fig. 3).



**Fig. 2.** Electrophoregram of restriction analysis of amplification fragments: M – DNA size marker pUc19/MspI; 1 – PCSALF/PCSALR primers with DNA of *Ca. P. salmonis* (197 bp) uncut control, 2 – PCSALF/PCSALR primers with DNA of *Ca. P. salmonis* digested with *TasI* restriction enzyme (40, 57, 100 bp); 3 – PCSALF/PCSALR primers with DNA of *Ca. C. salmonicola* (197 bp) uncut control, 4 – PCSALF/PCSALR primers with DNA of *Ca. C. salmonicola* digested with *TasI* restriction enzyme (13, 40, 44, 100 bp), 5 – K<sup>+</sup>, PCSALF/PCSALR primers with DNA of *Ca. P. salmonis* and DNA of *Ca. Clavochlamydia salmonicola*, 6 – K<sup>-</sup>, PCSALF/PCSALR primers with deionized water instead of DNA



**Fig. 3.** Electrophoregram of PCR amplification with primers PCSALF/PCSALR DNA of 10 species of Chlamydiales: M – DNA size marker pUc19/MspI; 1 – *Parachlamydia acanthamoebae*; 2 – *Waddlia chondrophila*; 3 – *Ca. C. salmonicola* (197 bp); 4 – *Ca. P. salmonis* (197 bp); 5 – *Chlamydia avium*; 6 – *Chlamydia pecorum*; 7 – *Chlamydia abortus*; 8 – *Chlamydia psittaci*; 9 – *Chlamydia suis*; 10 – *Chlamydia caviae*

## Discussion

Despite the fact that epitheliocystis was first mentioned back in 1924 (Demoll et al., 1924) and that the term "epitheliocystis" appeared in 1969 (Hoffman et al., 1969), we still have an information gap about epitheliocystis in Ukraine. Moreover, little is known about this disease in the available Ukrainian and/or Russian scientific sources (Zezekealo et al., 2019). To date, only two bacteria (*Ca. P. salmonis* and *Ca. C. salmonicola*) from the Chlamydiales order, which cause pathogenic lesions in commercially important aquaculture species of Ukraine, have been described (Shcherbukha, 1987; Movchan, 2011; Pawlikowska-Warych et al., 2016; Zezekealo et al., 2019).

The diagnosis of epitheliocystis in fish is mainly based on the clinical signs of the disease, including visible damage of gills and skin, changes in fish behaviour with subsequent tissue microscopy to determine of characteristic morphological changes. This is a time consuming and laborious process, not suitable for wide screening, well as for screening for prophylactic purposes, as epitheliocystis can be occasionally asymptomatic (Pawlikowska-Warych et al., 2016). There are no culture methods for detection of the causative agent (Nowak et al., 2006). In this regard, molecular genetic techniques are mainly used to diagnose epitheliocystis in fish (Schmidt-Posthaus et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2013).

There are foreign-made PCRs for identification *Ca. P. salmonis* (Draghi et al., 2004; Karlsen et al., 2007) and *Ca. C. salmonicola* (Schmidt-Posthaus et al., 2012; Toenshoff et al., 2012; Guevara Soto et al., 2016), which in the current economic situation are not available for mass use in Ukraine, due to the high price and/or the necessity for expensive equipment (for real-time PCR tests). Taking advantage of the sequence homology (revealed similarity 81%) between these epitheliocystis agent (*Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis*), we propose the use of general primers for their simultaneous detection. This approach seems more convenient than using specific primers for *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis* or a mixture of such primers in a single tube. To our knowledge, this is the first report of PCR assay for detection both *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis* with a single test for the wide screening and/or confirmation of epitheliocystis diagnosis. This type of approach could be highly practical in the tough economic environment of modern Ukraine.

Conserved <sup>16</sup>S rRNA gene was selected as the target gene. A region of the <sup>16</sup>S rRNA gene that would allow identifying both species with a single test for epitheliocystis diagnostic was chosen, since this conservative gene is the most universal among bacteria, and has hypervariable regions, which allows one to choose a specific region for both species. (Chakravorty et al., 2007). We exploited selective sequence recognition by endonucleases restriction. The restriction of the digestion profiles showed that the amplification bands visualised by ethidium bromide staining were specific for both *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. Piscichlamydia salmonis*.

The developed PCR assay for identifying both types of *Chlamydia*-like organisms in one PCR test kit has an advantage over methods using separate tests to determine each type, since it is cheaper and suitable for testing a large number of samples in order to investigate the disease, since epitheliocystis treatment is alike regardless of the pathogen. For scientific purposes, it is possible to use a restriction analysis or individual PCR tests, to determine a specific agent.

## Conclusion

Identification of epitheliocystis will promote the growth of profitability of aqua culture and will afford an opportunity of the oversight of chlamydial infection in commercially important farmed fish species of Ukraine. The designed PCR assay, after approbation on clinical material can be used by scientists for extensive monitoring of epitheliocystis, by doctors of veterinary medicine for diagnosis clarification, in addition to introduction into the practice of veterinary medicine laboratories and implementation in fish farms' improvement programmes. The PCR assay for recognition of *Ca. C. salmonicola* and *Ca. P. salmonis* can be suggested for clinical trials in Ukraine. Our PCR assay is considerably simpler to use, faster, and carries lower cost than other diagnostic techniques which use separated tests to determine each of the species. The expected amplicon size 197 bp theoretically allows application of this pair of oligonucleotide primers for real-time PCR. Still, the clinical specificity and clinical sensitivity of the developed PCR technique should be evaluated in a statistically-valid amount of sampling.

We express our sincere gratitude to those without whom these studies would have been impossible. To [Igor Ksonz] Doctor of Veterinary Science, Institute of Pig Breeding and Agricultural Production of the NAAS, who spared no effort and time, inspired and always focused his talent to achieve success in our common cause. Appreciation to the scientists who responded: Dr. Michel Rolf (Central Military Hospital Koblenz, Germany, Dept. Pathology,

Professeur), Gilbert Greub, MD-PhD (Chef de Service et Directeur de l'Institut de Microbiologie Médecin chef des laboratoires de microbiologie diagnostique Institut de Microbiologie de l'Université de Lausanne, Switzerland), Dr. Christiane Schnee (Arbeitsgruppenleiterin, Institut für molekulare Pathogenese, Jena, Germany), Heike Schmidt-Posthaus, PD, Dr. med vet, Dipl. ECVP (Centre for Fish and Wildlife Health Bern) for the invaluable help you gave us in the form of control DNA samples, thanks to which it became possible to carry out our research.

## References

- Blandford, M. I., Taylor-Brown, A., Schlacher, T. A., Nowak, B., & Polkinghome, A. (2018). Epitheliocystis in fish: An emerging aquaculture disease with a global impact. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 65(6), 1436–1446.
- Chakravorty, S., Helb, D., Burday, M., Connell, N., & Alland, D. (2007). A detailed analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA gene segments for the diagnosis of pathogenic bacteria. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 69(2), 330–339.
- Demoll, R., & Maier, H. N. (1924). *Praktikum der Fischkrankheiten (Handbuch der Binnenfischerei Mitteleuropas)*.
- Draghi, A. 2nd., Bebak, J., Popov, V. L., Noble, A. C., Geary, S. J., West, A. B., Byrne, P., & Frasca, S. Jr. (2007). Characterization of a *Neochlamydia*-like bacterium associated with epitheliocystis in cultured Arctic char *Salvelinus alpinus*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 76, 27–38.
- Draghi, A., Popov, V. L., Kahl, M. M., Stanton, J. B., Brown, C. C., Tsongalis, G. J., West, A. B., & Frasca, S. (2004). Characterization of “Candidatus *Piscichlamydia salmonis*” (Order Chlamydiales), a *Chlamydia*-like bacterium associated with epitheliocystis in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 42(11), 5286–5297.
- Guevara Soto, M., Vaughan, L., Segner, H., Wahli, T., Vidondo, B., & Schmidt-Posthaus, H. (2016). Epitheliocystis distribution and characterization in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) from the headwaters of two major European rivers, the Rhine and Rhone. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 7, 131.
- Hoffman, G. L., Dunbar, C. E., Wolf, K., & Zwillenberg, L. O. (1969). Epitheliocystis, a new infectious disease of the bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*). *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, 35(1), 146–158.
- Jaremenko, J. (2018). Itogi 2018 goda v rybnoy otrasli Ukrainy. Uspeshnoe proizvodstvo chernoy ikry v Ukraine. Eksport ukrainskoj ryby i rybnoj produkcii v Evropu [Results in the fish industry in Ukraine in 2018. Successful production of black caviar in Ukraine. Export of Ukrainian fish and fish products to Europe.] Presented at the “Fish and Seafood”, Kiev (in Russian).
- Karlsen, M., Nylund, A., Watanabe, K., Helvik, J. V., Nylund, S., & Plarre, H. (2008). Characterization of ‘Candidatus *Clavochlamydia salmonicola*’: An intracellular bacterium infecting salmonid fish. *Environmental Microbiology*, 10(1), 208–218.
- Kumar, G., Mayrhofer, R., Soliman, H., & El-Matbouli, M. (2013). Novel Chlamydiales associated with epitheliocystis in grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). *Veterinary Record*, 172(2), 47.
- Lewis, E. J., McLaughlin, S. M., Bodammer, J. E., & Sawyer, T. K. (1992). Epitheliocystis in ten new host species of marine fish. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, 15(3), 267–271.
- Meijer, A., Roholl, P. J. M., Ossewaarde, J. M., Jones, B., & Nowak, B. F. (2006). Molecular evidence for association of Chlamydiales bacteria with epitheliocystis in leafy seadragon (*Phycodurus eques*), silver perch (*Bidyanus bidyanus*), and barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72(1), 284–290. <http://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.284-290.2006>
- Movchan, J. V. (2011). *Ryby Ukrainy [Fish of Ukraine]*. Zoloti Vorota, Kyiv (in Ukrainian).
- Naylor, R., & Burke, M. (2005). Aquaculture and ocean resources: Raising tigers of the sea. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 30(1), 185–218.
- Nowak, B. F., & LaPatra, S. E. (2006). Epitheliocystis in fish. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, 29(10), 573–588.
- Pawlukowska-Warych, M., & Deptuła, W. (2016). Characteristics of chlamydia-like organisms pathogenic to fish. *Journal of Applied Genetics*, 57(1), 135–141.
- Schmidt-Posthaus, H., Polkinghome, A., Nufer, L., Schifferli, A., Zimmermann, D. R., Segner, H., Steiner, P., & Vaughan, L. (2012). A natural freshwater origin for two chlamydial species, Candidatus *Piscichlamydia salmonis* and Candidatus *Clavochlamydia salmonicola*, causing mixed infections in wild brown trout (*Salmo trutta*): Seasonal epitheliocystis in brown trout. *Environmental Microbiology*, 14(8), 2048–2057.
- Sellyei, B., Molnár, K., & Székely, C. (2017). Diverse *Chlamydia*-like agents associated with epitheliocystis infection in two cyprinid fish species, the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) and the gibel carp (*Carassius auratus gibelio* L.). *Acta Veterinaria Hungarica*, 65(1), 29–40.
- Shcherbukha, A. Y. (1987). *Ryby nashykh vodojm [Fish of our waters]*. Radianska Shkola, Kyiv (in Ukrainian).
- Syasina, I., Park, I.-S., & Kim, J. (2004). Epitheliocystis disease in red sea bream *Pagrus major* and induced hybrid, red sea bream *Pagrus major* (♀) × black sea bream *Acanthopagrus schlegelii* (♂), cultured in Korea. *Bulletin – European Association of Fish Pathologists*, 24(5), 260–267.
- Toenshoff, E. R., Kvellestad, A., Mitchell, S. O., Steinum, T., Falk, K., Colquhoun, D. J., & Hom, M. (2012). A novel betaproteobacterial agent of gill epitheliocystis in seawater farmed Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*). *PLoS One*, 7(3), e32696.
- Zezekealo, V. K., Peredera, S. B., & Shcherbakova, N. S. (2019). Onovlennia taksonomichnoji klasyfikaciji mikroorganizmiv poriadku Chlamydiales [The renovation of the taxonomic classification of the Order Chlamydiales microorganisms]. *Visnyk Poltavskoji Derzhavnoji Ahramoji Akademiji*, 1, 207–215 (in Ukrainian).